

mead

9-10-7

9-11-7

TODA CONF

NUCS : FU

C-II

ABOLITION

THREATS

memo

9-10-07

Sunday night

11 PM

end of Fada Cray

- Oppie's notion of  
two mms was  
totally self-assured  
after 1949

(or before - given reputation  
of SU bunk -  
SU would get  
two mms -

notion of fighting  
two were LW -

HAR - & they  
he got this from Tolson?  
was he influenced  
by Oppie?

(and notion of  
air defense (?) )

was crazy -  
2. raised LW w. news

2. With SU back,  
notion of NATO

FC strategy is

crazy

toe

while SU has never

(war on Germany?)

Germans would be

crazy to allow it —

as McN says, suicide;

madman / masochist —

only rational <sup>for EU</sup> that

is non-nuclear defense —

OR discriminating FS on SU!

better - more  
attractive  
(like nice land  
mine in Germany!  
(unlike the land,  
& middle!)  
(or, in North Africa)

less reason for SU  
to retal. on Russians -

so SAC had some  
sense!

Office, crazy!

as for H -

an assumption

an H can't be made (?)

opinion: It's evil -

we won't make it

can if you do

(can H-bombs are  
emerged for det (true))

[but not for NATO  
F-5 - not not for det

IF H-bomb is small

emerged for ICBM!

not "exp - cost"!

Nytk: Teller - Ulan<sup>becker</sup>  
is small (er)

SO will want it  
(still just as  
"evil" — but A-bomb  
can't guarantee det  
against a disarming  
attack by 2/ many  
bombs 1945 or  
ICBM



Thus: Femi-Rabi  
were right in 1950  
- No first test  
(development?)

though it doesn't  
give any stigmatization  
(like NEU -  
see Colosso -

it does legitimize  
H for det (Joh E)  
and en for EU

(unless NEU - which  
Oppie didn't favor for  
A - but - SEE MIROSHIMA



did anyone (Sized)  
suggest either

1) no test

2) no print test

3) no print test  
&

and (4) no print test.

or test of H:

and We want "down"

Bank with - int.

central (after May 8)

+ Court  
Office should  
have switched  
to NF Text

and NFU Rabbi-Femi

(re-raise it)  
in 1951? with  
Fellen - Ullman

(and non-ver  
defence in Europe)

\$US FS is good  
Foght only until

SU gets good 2nd-trial  
NOT AFTER 1964

(Modern, only)

- Suppose they had  
admitted, the case  
and goal forward  
for Berlin!

(<sup>NATO</sup> At looked <sup>to public</sup> suicidal -  
except to the King -

(was the?) after ... 1954?

FS was not reviled  
("only 10M dead")

ALL 1964-68

(or, for VFR, 1961-62<sup>?</sup>)

NO - C-II

1964-65<sup>?</sup>

---

You can't make  
cred. threat of  
more retal for more  
attacks (large, on cities)  
look EVIL, to most  
humans

(you can make it  
look more dangerous  
than instructed ban  
— but not to everyone)

---

MOA — did he  
think FU was  
"unlabeled" to keep  
Burli — or unnecessary?  
or SVN, 1956? (1960  
or hoo, as  
Chinese  
or his friend?  
a Pak?  
("Wants to enter ones")

c.e. against use  
non-use enemy?

(or even, if you're  
want - against  
a NWS, is an ally  
of a NWS

(NUN, 1956-60))

McN - to convincing  
Rusk not to use it  
vs. China, in

early '64! not China  
Cuba, after? is NUN?

(Only way to keep  
2-~~nd~~ <sup>nd</sup> ~~nd~~ <sup>nd</sup> was limited;

keep it out of  
each other's territory

(if only big "defendant"  
didn't mind!)

us. China: <sup>after 1964</sup> North  
Korea, or North  
Vietnam?

But US wanted to  
show, & destroy China  
(like, dear! or dear),



is Half War?

so - vs. China, destroy  
it, don't miss

We projected this  
down into SU!

"to central world,  
entrust US -

to be right  
superior!

(not, all-powerful -  
or, would be

multitudes enough  
to control Africa  
(without US ~~help~~  
support?)

or, to control  
China?

(multitudes isn't  
enough — us. guerrillas!)

permanence in China —  
How "well" will they  
do?

on C-II

"No one could  
have imagined  
K could put  
MRBMs on C-11"  
(see Rice on 9-11)

But DCI McCord  
did: own SAMs<sup>to</sup>  
Iran, (Kroting)  
he couldn't convince  
his own analysts;  
1) he's never done it

(but now back  
incentives (?)

Meyerson  
Tushy  
Berlin  
ICBM Map!

---

It wasn't needles  
if you (K) thought  
you could make  
the operation

1) before the war  
decision, or

2) before JFK was  
willing to have crisis (status)

(WWR: "muted by  
1960" (N ~~session~~)

By doit conceal  
whole throat  
(including workbooks)

(WWR: do read  
workbooks at JFK  
spring? minutes  
but ap. yet - to  
read workbooks  
before ap is to work others.  
Friday?

(Wf not named  
FROB warheads  
and delegation  
thg were up,  
no?)  
at dinner

Enika - Wf was K

at dinner on Saturday?!

~~Answered~~

Invitation about covered  
text prop. of conversation,  
meeting my wife  
near plato were out  
of sight?!

you can't  
deligitize  $F=U$   
attack

while is after  
you legitimize  
threat of  $F=U$

- punish it,  
with ~~condemnation~~  
or sanction

- (Cates): What you  
legitimize  
in threats, you can

(legitimize) carry out

(and steps to enforce cred-  
ibility, threaten + destroy <sup>extinction</sup>)



just as: you  
Can't delegatize  
Carrying out intent  
if you legitimize  
possession, then

(Cults say you can,  
on arms — don't it  
but don't use it (PENIS)  
for priest

celibacy

don't practice

Removal

get: but in you  
but... And on the

hey, retail is  
legit? (any  
amount?!)  
n

price possession  
is OK

us. — "It is a  
risk to possess in  
us."

(Oppie: to possess in  
W-bank (can and  
be used against other/party  
(amplifier: must be  
large?)

Oppie  
(H báo gas chenus  
and unstable  
granite sep.

(A-bomb? v. f.p.m.?)

but only kills  
about 1000 plus  
also, bomb chenus!

An scale of  
post vms

(if a small  
number! But...

(un-grounded? In 50  
yes - in 54 to no)

(To Calvino,  
abolitionists

(initiated?)

multi:)

— must be in

presented grand

paper, not

ephemeral word;

because:

you can't de-legitimize

revenge, or know;

or, make it irreversible

because you can't

entirely delete it

so you can't make  
the threat of it  
totally useless

and carrying it out  
<sup>useful</sup>  
has efforts for future  
(at least, for other  
potential victims, of  
this is another prevention  
(unlike striking, for  
a union — "for  
others")

or making a woman or  
slave or placed

Q-10-7

- Write on FU for DK  
"wre option"  
Feminist

- add on Hirsch  
Premption Candidate  
endorse
- Study/expand
  - Hirsch cases
- Wright on me
- (more on RS/FU)